

International Journal of Ayurvedic Medicine, Vol 11 (1), 35-43

# Experimental Evaluation *of Karpasa Beeja* (*Gossypium herbacum* Linn.) With special reference to its Galactagogue Effect

**Research Article** 

## Dhulappa Dharmaji Mehatre<sup>1\*</sup>

1. Professor and HOD, Department of Dravya Guna, N. K. Jabshetty Ayurvedic Medical College and PG Centre Bidar Karnataka

### Abstract

Increased urbanization created lack of authentic and genuine drug for the management of ailments of human beings; similarly the urbanization has fashioned myths in society that lactation and feedings of the babies leads to loss of beauty, along with this stress, strain and modern style of living affects the milk production in human being. Galactogouges are most used and prescribed drugs in the medical practice. As per *Ayurveda* galactogouges are termed as *stanyajanana dravya*, which increase the milk production. *Karpasa beeja* (*Gossypium herbacum* Linn) belongs to Malvaceae family is medium sized tree consists *madhura rasa* (sweet taste), *sheeta veerya* (cold potency), and *madhura vipaka* (under goes sweet metabolism). It acts as *vata, pitta shamaka, kapha vardhaka, and stanyajanana* (increases lactation). The *Karpasa beeja* was subjected for morphological and physico-chemical evaluation according to the parameters explained in *Ayurveda* Pharmacopeia of India and galactogouge activity was carried out for 15 days by using 24 Albino rats divided into four groups i.e. two trial groups (*Churna* and Extract of *Karpas Beeja*), one standard group (*Shatavari churna*) and one control group (Normal saline water). The drug shows presence of carbohydrate, proteins, sterols, reducing sugar, tannins, flavonoids, alkaloids. The drug in the form of *churna* and 90% Ethyl alcohol extract shows similar effects with known standard drug *Shatavari* (Asparagus racemosa).

Key Words: Karpasa beeja, Gossypium herbaceaum Linn, Experimental, Galactogouges.

### Introduction

Urbanization has fashioned myths in society that lactation and feedings of the babies leads to loss of beauty, along with this stress, strain and modern style of living affects the milk production in human being. Galactogouges (Stanyajanana) are most commonly used and prescribed drugs in the medical practice (1). There are many stanyajanana dravyas are explained in classics, among those Karpasa (Gossypium herbaceaum Linn) is one of the well known drug since Veda and belongs to the family Malvaceae. It is used to prepare Vastra and Picchu (2). Karpasa is mentioned in different Dupana yogas (Fumigation). It is used in the treatment of vataja ashmari (Renal calculi), adhika raktasrava (Bleeding disorders), atisara (Diarrhea) and mutrakriccha (Dysurea). Pushpas (Flowers) are used in the preparation of kushtanashaka lepas (Application useful in skin diseases) (3). It is mentioned in vatasamshamana gana (vata mitigating). It is used in the treatment of Karna srava (Otorrhea), Trishna (Excessive thirst) (4). It is mentioned in Yantra vidhi adhyaya and used in the form of bandha (Bandage),

\* Corresponding Author: Dhulappa Dharmaji Mehatre

Professor & HOD Dept of Dravya Guna N K Jabshetty Ayurvedic Medical College and PG Centre Bidar-585403 (KS) Email Id: dhulappam@gmail.com pakva atisara (Dysentery) (5). Beeja (seeds) acts as Balya (strengthening), Brihmaneeya (Nutrient), stanyajanana (galactogogue), vrishya (aphrodisiac) due to its madhura rasa (sweet taste), sheeta veerya (cold potency) and madhura vipaka (sweet biotransformation) (6). It is also called as Acchadanaphala, Ananta, Badari, Devadalika, Tundi, Samudranta (7) etc. It is a Perennial shrub or medium sized tree grows up to 5-7 fts height, possess simple, alternate, lobed, crdate, entire-wavy leaf, cymose inflorescence, solitary flower and globose capsule fruit contain cotton in it(8).

### **Materials and Methods**

Healthy female adult Albino rats weighing from 200gms to 350gms were used for testing galactogogue effect of Churna (Powder) and Extract of Karpasa beeja (Gossypium herbaceum Linn), Shatavari (Asparagus racemosa) Churna and Distilled water in selected model according to Azizha Mahmood et al method (2012)(9). Female adult Albino rats of 3 months old were housed and mated with adult male rats. The female rats were allowed to deliver their young's and the day of parturition was designated as day 1. All the lactating rats were randomly divided into four groups of six rats each. The milk production was measured daily after 12hrs of treatment starting from day 6 to day 15. The weight of litters before and after 60 min of sucking was measured to estimated milk yield. The measurement of milk production and weight gain of litter mates along the experimental period was compared between the groups.



Dhulappa M et.al., Experimental evaluation of karpasa beeja w.s.r. its galactagogue effect

**Group I** - Treated with *Karpasa beeja* Extract, **Group II**- Treated with *Karpasa beeja Churna*, **Group III** - Standard group treated with *Shatavari Churna*,

**Group IV** - Control group treated with Normal saline. Drug was given as, dose per kg body weight calculated by using animal dose conversion formula.

### **Observations and Results**

All the lactating rats were randomly divided into four groups of six rats each. The milk production was measured daily after 12hrs of treatment starting from day 6 to day 15.

#### Table No. 01: Table showing mean of milk yield in gms on 6th day (Graph-I)

Sl .No	Gr I	Gr II	Gr III	Gr IV
1	0.20	0.10	0.55	0.10
2	0.50	0.10	1.20	0.50
3	0.65	0.42	0.65	0.15
4	0.20	0.20	0.28	0.05
5	0.40	0.35	0.55	0.15
6	0.20	0.45	0.20	0.05
Mean	0.35	0.27	0.57	0.16

#### Table No. 2: Table showing mean of milk yield on 7th day (Graph-II)

Sl .No	Gr I	Gr II	Gr III	Gr IV
1	0.15	0.35	0.28	0.20
2	0.60	0.10	0.95	0.10
3	0.20	0.20	0.70	0.10
4	0.20	0.20	0.60	0.20
5	0.46	0.20	0.70	0.20
6	0.20	0.53	0.90	0.10
Mean	0.30	0.26	0.68	0.15

Table No. 3: Table showing mean milk yield on 8th day (Graph-III)

Sl .No	Gr I	Gr II	Gr III	Gr IV
1	0.22	0.43	1.10	0.04
2	0.35	0.30	1.40	0.08
3	0.33	0.62	1.10	0.15
4	0.37	0.42	0.72	0.15
5	0.52	0.50	0.85	0.35
6	0.30	0.41	0.88	0.27
Mean	0.34	0.44	1.00	0.17

### Table No. 4: Table showing Mean Milk yield on 9th day (Graph-IV)

		8		/
Sl .No	Gr I	Gr II	Gr III	Gr IV
1	0.23	0.31	1.10	0.10
2	0.21	0.38	1.20	0.20
3	0.52	0.41	1.23	0.07
4	0.43	0.65	1.05	0.10
5	0.40	0.44	0.95	0.15
6	0.43	0.65	1.40	0.24
Mean	0.37	0.47	1.15	0.14

#### Table No. 5: Table showing mean milk yield on 10th day (Graph-V)

Sl .No	Gr I	Gr II	Gr III	Gr IV
1	0.35	0.35	1.08	0.05
2	0.20	0.36	1.40	0.07
3	0.35	0.27	1.15	0.20
4	0.50	0.60	1.10	0.20
5	0.55	0.60	1.40	0.30
6	0.85	0.90	1.25	0.15
Mean	0.46	0.51	1.23	0.16



International Journal of Ayurvedic Medicine, Vol 11 (1), 35-43

	Table No. 6: Table showing mean milk yield on 11th day (Graph-VI)							
Sl .No	Gr I	Gr IV						
1	0.60	0.42	1.18	0.15				
2	0.40	0.52	1.15	0.31				
3	0.47	0.25	1.13	0.49				
4	0.70	0.80	1.12	0.35				
5	0.95	1.10	0.83	0.45				
6	0.85	1.45	1.10	0.60				
Mean	0.66	0.75	1.08	0.39				

## Table No. 7: Table showing mean milk yield on 12th day (Graph-VII)

Sl .No	Gr I	Gr II	Gr III	Gr IV
1	1.40	0.93	1.02	0.65
2	1.39	1.35	1.15	0.88
3	2.00	1.95	1.32	0.75
4	1.74	1.65	1.20	0.60
5	1.42	1.65	1.23	0.70
6	1.35	0.80	1.07	0.50
Mean	1.55	1.38	1.16	0.68

#### Table No. 8: Table showing mean milk yield on 13th day (Graph-VIII)

Sl .No	Gr I	Gr II	Gr III	Gr IV
1	3.22	2.73	1.12	2.10
2	1.75	1.33	1.45	0.78
3	2.55	2.65	1.80	0.85
4	2.92	3.20	1.77	1.35
5	2.82	2.45	3.13	0.66
6	3.39	3.66	3.30	1.02
Mean	2.77	2.66	2.09	1.12

## Table No. 9: Table showing mean milk yield on 14th day (Graph-IX)

Sl .No	Gr I	Gr II	Gr III	Gr IV
1	3.12	3.36	3.13	1.10
2	2.70	4.04	3.18	1.60
3	4.25	4.14	3.70	1.23
4	3.27	3.45	3.63	0.55
5	2.85	3.13	3.10	0.55
6	2.25	3.23	3.41	0.80
Mean	3.07	3.55	3.35	0.97

### Table No. 10: Table showing mean milk yield on 15th day (Graph-X)

		• 5110 / 111g		-)
Sl .No	Gr I	Gr II	Gr III	Gr IV
1	3.25	3.70	2.99	0.90
2	2.87	2.00	3.26	1.15
3	3.01	2.90	3.61	0.80
4	3.75	1.35	3.22	1.25
5	3.13	3.18	3.98	2.00
6	4.32	3.13	3.70	0.75
Mean	3.38	2.71	3.46	1.14



Dhulappa M et.al., Experimental evaluation of karpasa beeja w.s.r. its galactagogue effect **GRAPH NO- III GRAPH NO-I GRAPH NO- II GRAPH NO- IV GRAPH NO- V** 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 1.2 0.8 0.8 0.5 0.8 0.6 0.6 0.3 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.2 Gr III Gr III Gr III Gr II Gr IV Gr II Gr III Gr IV Gr III Gr IV Gr II **GRAPH NO- VI GRAPH NO- IX GRAPH NO- X GRAPH NO- VII GRAPH NO- VIII** 1.2 1.4 1.2 1 2.5 0.8 2 1.5 0.6 0.4 0.5 Gr II Gr III Gr IV Gr II Gr III Gr II Gr III Gr II Gr I Gr I Gr I Gr IV Gr I Gr II

#### Statistical analysis Table No.11: Showing Statistical analysis of Group -I

Table No.11: Snowing Statistical analysis of Group -1							
Sl. No.	Mean	SD	SEM	t	р	Remarks	
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.35	0.19	0.07	0.69	0.5179	NS	
7 <sup>th</sup>	0.30	0.18	0.07	0.09	0.3179	INS	
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.35	0.19	0.07	0.12	0.000	NC	
8 <sup>th</sup>	0.34	0.09	0.04	0.12	0.900	NS	
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.35	0.19	0.07	0.14	0.90	NC	
9th	0.37	0.12	0.05	0.14	0.89	NS	
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.35	0.19	0.07	0.72	0.50	NC	
10 <sup>th</sup>	0.46	0.22	0.09	0.72	0.50	NS	
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.35	0.19	0.07	2.10	0.08	S	
11 <sup>th</sup>	0.66	0.21	0.08	2.10	0.08	5	
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.35	0.19	0.07	12.50	0.0001	IIC	
12 <sup>th</sup>	1.55	0.26	0.10	12.59	0.0001	HS	
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.35	0.19	0.07	8.08	0.0005	HS	
13 <sup>th</sup>	2.77	0.58	0.28	8.08	0.0005	пъ	
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.35	0.19	0.07	11.20	0.0001	IIC	
14 <sup>th</sup>	3.07	0.67	0.27	11.30	0.0001	HS	
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.35	0.19	0.07	10.62	0.0001	IIC	
15 <sup>th</sup>	3.38	0.54	0.22	10.63	0.0001	HS	

#### Table No.12: Showing Statistical analysis of Group -II

Sl No.	Mean	SD	SEM	t	р	Remarks
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.27	0.15	0.06	0.00	0.02	NG
7 <sup>th</sup>	0.26	0.15	0.06	0.09	0.92	NS
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.27	0.15	0.06	2.55	0.01	C
8 <sup>th</sup>	0.44	0.10	0.04	3.55	0.01	S
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.27	0.15	0.06	2.14	0.02	C
9 <sup>th</sup>	0.47	0.14	0.05	3.14	0.02	S
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.27	0.15	0.06	2.92	0.02	C
10 <sup>th</sup>	0.51	0.23	0.09	2.82	0.03	S
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.27	0.15	0.06	2.06	0.02	C
11 <sup>th</sup>	0.75	0.45	0.18	2.96	0.03	S
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.27	0.15	0.06	6 11	0.0001	110



	International Journal of Ayurvedic Medicine, Vol 11 (1), 35-43									
12 <sup>th</sup>	1.38	0.44	0.18	0.11	0.0001	нэ				
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.27	0.15	0.06	8.22	0.0004	IIC				
13 <sup>th</sup>	2.67	0.78	0.32	8.22	0.0004	HS				
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.27	0.15	0.06	16.94	0.0001	HS				
14 <sup>th</sup>	3.55	0.42	0.17	10.94	0.0001	пэ				
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.27	0.15	0.06	7.13	0.0008	HS				
15 <sup>th</sup>	2.71	0.86	0.35	1.15	0.0008	пэ				

## Table No.13: Showing Statistical analysis of Group –III

Sl No.	Mean	SD	SEM	t	р	Remarks
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.57	0.35	0.14	0.79	0.47	NC
7 <sup>th</sup>	0.68	0.24	0.09	0.78	0.47	NS
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.57	0.35	0.14	6.02	0.001	C
8 <sup>th</sup>	1.00	0.24	0.90	6.23	0.001	S
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.57	0.35	0.14	2.50	0.015	C
9th	1.15	0.15	0.06	3.59	0.015	S
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.57	0.35	0.14	5.07	0.007	HS
10 <sup>th</sup>	1.23	0.14	0.05	5.27	0.007	
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.57	0.35	0.14	2.50	0.017	C
11 <sup>th</sup>	1.08	0.12	0.05	3.50	0.017	S
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.57	0.35	0.14	4.10	0.009	
12 <sup>th</sup>	1.16	0.10	0.04	4.10	0.009	HS
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.57	0.35	0.14	2.22	0.02	C.
13 <sup>th</sup>	2.09	0.90	0.36	3.33	0.02	S
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.57	0.35	0.14	12.20	0.0001	
14 <sup>th</sup>	3.35	0.26	0.10	13.30	0.0001	HS
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.57	0.35	0.14	12.65	0.0001	LIC
15 <sup>th</sup>	3.46	0.36	0.14	12.65	0.0001	HS

## Table No.14: Showing Statistical analysis of Group -IV

Sl No.	Mean	SD	SEM	t	р	Remarks
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.16	0.16	0.06	0.20	0.84	NS
7 <sup>th</sup>	0.15	0.05	0.02	0.20	0.84	IND
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.16	0.16	0.06	0.69	0.94	NS
8 <sup>th</sup>	0.17	0.11	0.04	0.09	0.94	INS
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.16	0.16	0.06	0.35	0.72	NS
9 <sup>th</sup>	0.14	0.06	0.02	0.33	0.73	IN S
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.16	0.16	0.06	0.05	0.95	NS
10 <sup>th</sup>	0.16	0.09	0.30	0.05	0.95	CM1
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.16	0.16	0.06	2.13	0.085	S
11 <sup>th</sup>	0.39	0.15	0.06	2.13		
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.16	0.16	0.06	15.40	0.0001	HS
12 <sup>th</sup>	0.68	0.13	0.05	13.40		
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.16	0.16	0.06	3.78	0.0128	S
13 <sup>th</sup>	1.12	0.53	0.21	5.70	0.0128	3
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.16	0.16	0.06	6.49	0.0012	ЦС
14 <sup>th</sup>	0.97	0.41	0.16	0.49	0.0013	HS
6 <sup>th</sup>	0.16	0.16	0.06	5.01	5.01 0.004	110
15 <sup>th</sup>	1.14	0.46	0.18	5.01	0.004	HS



Dhulappa M et.al., Experimental evaluation of karpasa beeja w.s.r. its galactagogue effect

Between the groups
Table No. 15: Showing Statistical analysis of data between groups on 6th day

Table 10.15. Showing Statistical analysis of data between groups on 0 day							
Sl No.	Mean	SD	SEM	t	р	Remarks	
Gr I	0.35	0.019	0.007	0.87	0.40	NC	
Gr II	0.27	0.15	0.06	0.87	0.40	NS	
Gr I	0.35	0.019	0.007	1.30	0.22	NC	
Gr III	0.57	0.35	0.14	1.50	0.22	NS	
Gr I	0.35	0.019	0.007	1.04	0.00	NC	
Gr IV	0.16	0.16	0.06	1.84	0.09	NS	
Gr II	0.27	0.15	0.06	1.00	0.09	NC	
Gr III	0.57	0.35	0.14	1.90	0.08	NS	
Gr II	0.27	0.15	0.06	1.00	0.20	NC	
Gr IV	0.16	0.16	0.06	1.09	0.29	NS	

## Table No.16: Showing Statistical analysis of data between groups on 7<sup>th</sup> day

Sl No.	Mean	SD	SED	t	р	Remarks
Gr I	0.30	0.18	0.07	0.39	0.70	NC
Gr II	0.26	0.15	0.06	0.39	0.70	NS
Gr I	0.30	0.18	0.07	2.12	0.01	S
Gr III	0.68	0.24	0.09	3.13	0.01	S
Gr I	0.30	0.18	0.07	1.94	0.08	NC
Gr IV	0.15	0.05	0.02	1.94	0.08	NS
Gr II	0.26	0.15	0.06	3.65	0.004	S
Gr III	0.68	0.24	0.09	5.05	0.004	3
Gr II	0.26	0.15	0.06	1.70	0.11	NC
Gr IV	0.15	0.05	0.02	1.70	0.11	NS

## Table No.17: Showing Statistical analysis of data between groups on 8<sup>th</sup> day

Sl No.	Mean	SD	SED	t	р	Remarks
Gr I	0.34	0.09	0.04	1.65	0.12	NC
Gr II	0.44	0.10	0.04	1.65	0.12	NS
Gr I	0.34	0.09	0.04	6.16	0.001	11C
Gr III	1.00	0.24	0.09	6.16	0.001	HS
Gr I	0.34	0.09	0.04	2.70	0.01	C
Gr IV	0.17	0.11	0.04	2.79	0.01	S
Gr II	0.44	0.10	0.04	5 10	0.004	11C
Gr III	1.00	0.24	0.09	5.18	0.004	HS
Gr II	0.44	0.10	0.04	4.92	0.001	IIC
Gr IV	0.17	0.11	0.04	4.23	0.001	HS

## Table No.18: Showing Statistical analysis of data between groups on 9th day

		· ·		_ <b>I</b> _ V	
Mean	SD	SED	t	р	Remarks
0.37	0.12	0.05	1.22	0.21	NC
0.47	0.14	0.05	1.55	0.21	NS
0.37	0.12	0.05	0.62	0.001	ПС
1.15	0.15	0.05	9.02	0.001	HS
0.37	0.12	0.05	2.07	0.002	ПС
0.14	0.06	0.02	5.97	0.002	HS
0.47	0.14	0.05	7.94	0.001	ПС
1.15	0.15	0.05	7.84	0.001	HS
0.47	0.14	0.05	5 11	0.005	IIC
0.14	0.06	0.02	5.11	0.005	HS
	0.37 0.47 0.37 1.15 0.37 0.14 0.47 1.15 0.47	0.370.120.470.140.370.121.150.150.370.120.140.060.470.141.150.150.470.14	MeanSDSED0.370.120.050.470.140.050.370.120.051.150.150.050.370.120.050.140.060.020.470.140.051.150.150.050.470.140.050.470.140.05	MeanSDSEDt $0.37$ $0.12$ $0.05$ $1.33$ $0.47$ $0.14$ $0.05$ $1.33$ $0.37$ $0.12$ $0.05$ $9.62$ $1.15$ $0.15$ $0.05$ $9.62$ $0.37$ $0.12$ $0.05$ $3.97$ $0.14$ $0.06$ $0.02$ $3.97$ $0.14$ $0.05$ $7.84$ $1.15$ $0.15$ $0.05$ $5.11$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Mean & SD & SED & t & p \\ \hline 0.37 & 0.12 & 0.05 & & \\ \hline 0.47 & 0.14 & 0.05 & & \\ \hline 0.37 & 0.12 & 0.05 & & \\ \hline 0.37 & 0.12 & 0.05 & & \\ \hline 0.15 & 0.05 & & \\ \hline 0.37 & 0.12 & 0.05 & & \\ \hline 0.37 & 0.12 & 0.05 & & \\ \hline 0.41 & 0.06 & 0.02 & & \\ \hline 0.47 & 0.14 & 0.05 & & \\ \hline 0.47 & 0.14 & & \\ \hline 0.47 & $

International Journal of Ayurvedic Medicine, Vol 11 (1), 35-43

	Table No.19: Showing Statistical analysis of data between groups on 10th day								
Sl No.	Mean	SD	SED	t	р	Remarks			
Gr I	0.46	0.22	0.09	0.35	0.73	NS			
Gr II	0.51	0.23	0.09	0.33	0.75	10.5			
Gr I	0.46	0.22	0.09	6.99	0.0001	HS			
Gr III	1.23	0.14	0.05	0.99	0.0001	115			
Gr I	0.46	0.22	0.09	3.06	0.011	S			
Gr IV	0.16	0.09	0.03	5.00	0.011	3			
Gr II	0.51	0.23	0.09	6.29	0.0001	ЦС			
Gr III	1.23	0.14	0.05	6.38	0.0001	HS			
Gr II	0.51	0.23	0.09	3.42	0.006	ЦС			
Gr IV	0.16	0.09	0.03	5.42	0.000	HS			

### Table No.20: Showing Statistical analysis of data between groups on 11th day

Sl No.	Mean	SD	SEM	t	р	Remarks
Gr I	0.66	0.21	0.08	0.46	0.65	NS
Gr II	0.75	0.45	0.18	0.40	0.03	IND
Gr I	0.66	0.21	0.08	0.415	0.002	110
Gr III	1.08	0.12	0.05	0.415	0.002	HS
Gr I	0.66	0.21	0.08	2.49	0.02	S
Gr IV	0.39	0.15	0.06	2.49	0.03	S
Gr II	0.75	0.45	0.18	1.70	0.11	NC
Gr III	1.08	0.12	0.05	1.70	0.11	NS
Gr II	0.75	0.45	0.18	1.96	0.00	NC
Gr IV	0.39	0.15	0.06	1.86	0.09	NS

#### Table No.21: Showing Statistical analysis of data between groups on 12th day

Sl No.	Mean	SD	SEM	t	р	Remarks	
Gr I	1.55	0.26	0.10	0.76	0.46	NC	
Gr II	1.38	0.44	0.11	0.70	0.40	NS	
Gr I	1.55	0.26	0.10	2.22	0.007	C	
Gr III	1.16	0.10	0.04	3.32	0.007	S	
Gr I	1.55	0.26	0.10	7.07	0.0001	110	
Gr IV	0.68	0.13	0.05	7.27	0.0001	HS	
Gr II	1.38	0.44	0.11	1 10	0.26	NC	
Gr III	1.16	0.10	0.04	1.18	0.26	NS	
Gr II	1.38	0.44	0.11	2.70	0.004	C	
Gr IV	0.68	0.13	0.05	3.70	0.004	S	

### Table No.22: Showing Statistical analysis of data between groups on 13th day

Sl No.	Mean	SD	SEM	t	p v	Remarks
Gr I	2.77	0.58	0.23	0.26	0.79	NC
Gr II	2.67	0.78	0.32	0.20	0.79	NS
Gr I	2.77	0.58	0.23	1.54	0.15	NS
Gr III	2.09	0.90	0.36	1	0.15	110
Gr I	2.77	0.58	0.23	5.10	0.005	11C
Gr IV	1.12	0.53	0.21	5.10	0.005	HS
Gr II	2.67	0.78	0.32	1.17	0.26	NC
Gr III	2.09	0.90	0.36	1.17	0.20	NS
Gr II	2.67	0.78	0.32	3.97	0.002	S
Gr IV	1.12	0.53	0.21	5.97	0.002	3

Dhulappa M et.al., Experimental evaluation of karpasa beeja w.s.r. its galactagogue effect Table No 23: Showing Statistical analysis of data between groups on 14th day

Table No.23: Showing Statistical analysis of data between groups on 14th day									
Sl No.	Mean	SD	SEM	t	р	Remarks			
Gr I	3.07	0.67	0.27	1.48	0.16	NS			
Gr II	3.55	0.42	0.17						
Gr I	3.07	0.67	0.27	0.96	0.035	NS			
Gr III	3.35	0.26	0.10						
Gr I	3.07	0.67	0.27	6.48	0.0001	HS			
Gr IV	0.97	0.41	0.16						
Gr II	3.55	0.42	0.17	0.97	0.35	NS			
Gr III	3.35	0.26	0.10						
Gr II	3.55	0.42	0.17	10.63	0.0001	HS			
Gr IV	0.97	0.41	0.16						

Table No.24: Showing Statistical analysis of data between groups on 15th day

Sl No.	Mean	SD	SEM	t	р	Remarks
Gr I	3.38	0.54	0.22	1.61	0.13	NS
Gr II	2.71	0.86	0.35			
Gr I	3.38	0.54	0.22	0.26	0.79	NS
Gr III	3.34	0.36	0.12			
Gr I	3.38	0.54	0.22	7.67	0.001	HS
Gr IV	1.14	0.46	0.18			
Gr II	2.71	0.86	0.35	1.95	0.07	NS
Gr III	3.34	0.36	0.12			
Gr II	2.71	0.86	0.35	3.90	0.002	HS
Gr IV	1.14	0.46	0.18			

## Discussion

Galactogogue effect *Karpasa beeja* was carried out by using two trial groups and compared with a standard and control group. *Karpasa beeja* extract was administered to Group I, Group II was administered with *beeja churna*, Group III- administered with *Shatavari churna* and Group IV- administered with normal saline water by oral route with help of metallic syringe. Each mother rat was adjusted to have only six litters within 48hrs. The milk production was measured daily after 12hrs of treatment starting from day 6 to day 15. The weight of litter before and after 60mins of sucking was measured to estimated milk yield. The measurement of milk production and weight gain of litter mate along the experimental period was compared between the groups.

The mean weight gain before and after feeding was measured on 6<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup>, 12<sup>th</sup>, 13<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup> after this data was compared using bar diagram. The mean milk yield on 6<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> day for Group – I was 0.35, 3.35 and t value was 10.63 and p value was 0.0001 shows statistically significant results. The mean milk yield on 6<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> day for Group – II was 0.27, 2.71 and t value was 7.13 and p value was 0.0008 shows statistically significant results. The mean milk yield on 6<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> day for Group – II was 0.27, 2.71 and t value was 7.13 and p value was 0.0008 shows statistically significant results. The mean milk yield on 6<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> day for Group – III was 0.57, 3.46 and t value was 12.65 and p value was 0.0001 shows statistically significant results. The mean milk yield on 6<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> day for Group – IV was 0.16, 1.14 and t value was 5.01 and p value was 0.0004 shows statistically significant results.

The mean milk yield between Group- I & Group – II on 15th day was 3.38, 2.71 and t value was 1.61 and p value was 0.13 shows statistically no significant difference between Group - I & Group - II. The mean milk yield between Group- I & Group - III on 15th day was 3.38, 3.34 and t value was 0.26 and p value was 0.79 shows statistically no significant difference between Group – I & Group - III. The mean milk vield between Group- I & Group – IV on 15th day was 3.38, 1.14 and t value was 7.67 and p value was 0.001 shows statistically significant difference between Group - I & Group - IV. The mean milk yield between Group- II & Group - III on 15th day was 2.71, 3.34 and t value was 1.95 and p value was 0.07 shows statistically no significant difference between Group -II & Group - III. The mean milk yield between Group-II & Group – IV on 15th day was 2.71, 1.14 and t value was 3.90 and p value was 0.002 shows statistically significant difference between Group - II & Group - IV. By comparing these results, the trial drug in both the extract and powder form shows the equipotent activity with standard drug (Shatavari Churna) GI =GII =GIII.

#### Probable mode of action of Karpas beeja

Among so many drug actions galactogogue increases the rate of milk production. *Karpasa beeja* is having madhura rasa, snigdha guna, sheeta veerya, and madhura vipaka, leads to stanyajanana karma. Madhur rasa, snigdha guna, sheeta veerya and madhura vipaka acts as kapha vardhaka and dhatu poshaka. According to samanya siddhanta and by its santarpaka action, it increases the quantity of jalatwa amsha in stanya and



leads to *sthanyajanana* karma. According to modern science it reveals that the trial drug *Karpasa beeja* (*Gossypium herbaceum* Linn) has active constituents as sterols, alkaloids, flavonoids, glycosides, proteins, sugars, vitamins, minerals which are having galactogogue effect.

## Conclusion

The following conclusions can be drawn from the study:

- 1. *Karpasa beeja churna*, extract and *Shatavari churna* shown equipotent activity.
- 2. Experimental study proved that the drug *Karpasa* beeja (Gossypium herbaceum Linn) is having significant galactogogue property.

#### Acknowledgment

This research work was funded by Rajiv Gandhi University of health Science Bangalore Karnataka, **RGUHS ORDER NO.** RGU: ADV. Res. Proposal –Ay-265: 2015-16 dated 07-01-2016. I am thankful to Vice Chancellor, Registrar, *Research Director of RGUHS Bangalore* and Prof. **Dr. N. G. Mulimani** Dean / Principal of the institution for supporting in all aspects and providing expertise insight.

#### References

- 1. Shambulingam. K, Prema Sembulingam. Essentials of Medical Physiology. Edition 16<sup>th</sup>. New Delthi: Jaypee brother's medical publishers (p) Ltd; pp-510.
- 2. Sharma P.V. Dravyaguna Vijnana. Vol II. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Bharati Academy; 1993. P.p-45.
- 3. Sastri Kasinatha. Pt. Chaturvedi. Dr Goraknatha. Caraka Samhita. Vol II. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Bharati Academy; 2015. pp- 541,573,730&800.
- 4. Sharma P.V. Susruta Samhita. Vol I. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Vishvabharati prakashana; 2004.pp-370.
- 5. Murthy Srikantha. K.R. Astanga Hrdayam. Vol I. Varanasi: chaukhambha krishnadas Academy; 2006. pp-294.
- Chunekar. K. C. Bhavaprakash Nighantu. 10<sup>th</sup> edition. Varanasi: Chaukumba bharati Academy; 1995. pp-359.
- 7. Tripati Indradev. Raj Nighantu. Varanasi: Chakumba Barati Prakashana; 2003. pp-100.
- 8. Rao Sudhaker M. Text book of Biology Vol I. Mysore: Geeta book house; 1995. pp-209.
- Mahmood, Azizah & Omar, Muhammad & Ngah, Nurziana. (2012). Galactagogue effects of Musa X paradisiaca flower extract on lactating rats. Asian Pacific journal of tropical medicine. 5. 882-6. 10.1016/S1995-7645(12)60164-3.

\*\*\*\*